Brooks Hilliard - 6/19/2019 CASE 0:16air Isaac Corporation vs.4federal Filed and Company, et al. 2

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
2	
3	FAIR ISAAC CORPORATION, Court File No. 16-cv-1054(WMS/DTS)
4	PLAINTIFF,
5	VS.
6	FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY and ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE
7	COMPANY,
	DEFENDANTS.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
14	BROOKS HILLIARD
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	EXHIBIT
24	
25	Taken June 19, 2019 By Brandi Bigalke, RPR

Brooks Hilliard - 6/19/2019 CASE 0: Fair Visual Corpusation vs. 4 Federal Filed and Company, early 2

1 worldwide -- worldwide was removed from the Blaze 1 term territory, which limits the installation and ² license; is that right? ² physical location. 3 3 At the top of the page, I reference But taking it out of Section 2.1 4 a June 26 draft where the United States of 4 doesn't necessarily restrict usage with the 5 America was lined through and worldwide was 5 same -- at least as I understand it, and I'm not ⁶ a lawyer. 6 substituted. And then in the following paragraph But looking at it from what would 8 I reference a June 27 draft which changes that 8 be my view and what I believe is normal and 9 section to read, "territory with respect to the 9 customary, that taking it out of here would open 10 installation and physical location of the Fair 10 usage outside of the territory, where the 11 Isaac products means the United States." 11 territory limits installation and physical 12 So a change from territory means 12 location. 13 13 worldwide in the June 26, to territory with Q. So you're interpreting the 14 respect to the installation and physical location 14 contract? 15 means the United States of America in the 15 Α. I'm giving you my understanding of 16 June 27th. 16 what would be a normal and customary 17 17 understanding in the industry of what industry Is that what you're asking about? 18 Q. Let me show you what's previously 18 people would look at this contract and understand 19 from it. 19 been marked as Exhibit 312. Have you reviewed 20 this e-mail and this draft of the software 20 So are you familiar with other FICO 21 license agreement before? 21 Blaze software agreements that actually have a 22 22 reference to the territory in the license grant This is an exhibit to -- whose 23 deposition is this an exhibit to? 23 section? 24 This is an e-mail from Jandeen 24 In the two weeks that I had to do 25 Boone, the same person you referenced. 25 this report, I don't recall whether I saw any Page 149 Page 151 1 MR. HINDERAKER: He was asking if 1 other such agreements. I may have, but I don't ² you know whose deposition this was an exhibit to. ² recall having seen any other such agreements. MR. FLEMING: Well, I mean, it says Okay. So you've referenced a ⁴ Boone deposition at the bottom of the tab --⁴ couple of times short time period you had between THE WITNESS: Then I have seen it ⁵ receiving the report and writing the report. 6 because --Are you saying that because that MR. FLEMING: -- I'm assuming that ⁷ posed some obstacles to you in providing the 8 was --8 report that you wanted to prepare and provide? THE WITNESS: -- I looked at the A. No. What I'm saying is from the 10 exhibits to the Boone deposition. 10 date when Dr. Kursh and Mr. McCarter's reports 11 BY MR. FLEMING: 11 that I was rebutting became available until the 12 Q. Okay. And do you see where on this 12 date when my report was due, I had a limited 13 draft under the license grant, the phrase in 13 period of time. 14 Section 2.1, "but only within the territory and" 14 I focused my time on the subject of 15 is redlined out? 15 my opinions that are stated Opinions 1 through 5. 16 A. I see that. 16 I didn't necessarily look at issues that did not What is your understanding as to 17 seem to me -- such as license agreements with 18 other FICO licensees, that didn't seem to be --18 why the parties would agree to remove territory 19 to me to be relevant to rebutting Dr. Kursh's 19 from the license grant if, as you say, they meant 20 opinions. 20 to incorporate this term into the section? 21 The license grant says "subject to 21 Q. Well, let's look at Dr. Kursh's ²² report on page 23, if you could. 22 the terms, conditions and limitations of this 23 agreement," and up above it says the following 23 A. I don't believe -- oh. 24 24 terms of which territory is one, so the License MR. HINDERAKER: Yeah, it's one -- 25 Grant 2.1 is subject to the terms including the $_{\text{Page }150}$ $|^{25}$ THE WITNESS: 501? Is it 501?

Page 152